

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,717		11/08/2001	Jay S. Huebner	UNF-HUEBNER	7693
29633	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
ROGERS 7		•	SNAY, JEFFREY R		
JACKSONV		BOULEVARD, S L 32207	SUITE 1300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,		1743	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/005,717 ·	HUEBNER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
_		Jeffrey R. Snay	1743
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Depriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2005.	
		s action is non-final.	
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
·	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.	
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	_	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage
• 4	application from the International Burea		
. " (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.
•			
Attachmer	• •	<b>4.</b> □ 1	(DTO 442)
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	) 5) Notice of Ir	oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/10/2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clarke et al.

The reasons for this rejection are set forth in the last Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/005,717

Art Unit: 1743

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 4-6 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clarke et al.

The reasons for this rejection are set forth in the last Office action.

## Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 01/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the claims now require that the photo-induced charge movements consist of isometric change or the ejection of electrons, protons or OH- ions. However, the pyroelectric response measured in the method of Clarke et al would inherently have included at least the ejection of electrons or protons. It is not seen how applicant's new language provides any further limitation beyond the electrical response detected and analyzed by Clarke et al. Applicant further argues that Clarke teach the reagent being coated on an electrode, which in turn is coated on the polymer, rather than being adsorbed onto the polymer. However, the language of the instant claims does not preclude the embodiment of Clarke in which the reagent is adsorbed onto the polymer by means of an intermediate electrode.
- 8. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/005717. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been

Application/Control Number: 10/005,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743